

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/899,894	07/06/2001	Craig S. Caldwell	30906	3616	
30734 7:	590 11/21/2002				
BAKER + HOSTETLER LLP			EXAMINER		
	N SQUARE, SUITE 11 CTICUT AVE. N.W.	00	SAVAGE, M.	SAVAGE, MATTHEW O	
	N, DC 20036-5304				
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1723	3	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		On		
2	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)		
6 3	09/899,894	CALDWELL, CRAIG S.		
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Matthew O Savage	1723		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI s, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	, ,— ,			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	_ ,_ ,,	disapproved by the Examiner.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Carriller.			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n ncienty under 25 II S.C.	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)		
,	in priority under 35 0.5.C.	3 119(a)-(d) of (i).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ts have been received			
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 				
<u> </u>				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	- •			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Off PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claim1-24, drawn to apparatuses, classified in class 210, subclass 168.
- II. Claims 30-35, drawn to a method, classified in class 210, subclass 767.

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process could be carried out by a materially different apparatus, for example, one including a common lid for covering upper sides the supply and return side filters and a pair of separate lower lids for covering lower sides of the supply and return side filters.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains four patently distinct species that correspond to the drawing Figures as follows:

<u>Species</u>	<u>Figures</u>
1	1-4, and 6
2	5
3	7-9
4	10.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,894

Art Unit: 1723

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claims 1-9, 31, and 32 correspond to species 1 and 4;

Claims 10-18, 31, and 32 correspond to species 2;

Claims 19-29, and 35 correspond to species 3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 30 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 09/899,894

Art Unit: 1723

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew O Savage whose telephone number is 703-308-3854. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:00am-2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda W. Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Matthew O Savage Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

mos November 19, 2002